1	Lance A. Maningo	
2	MANINGO LAW Nevada Bar No. 6405	
	400 South 4th Street, Suite 650	
3	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.626.4646	
4	lance@maningolaw.com Attorney for Defendant	
5		DISTRICT COLIDT
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
7	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No.: 2:21-MJ-00553-BNW
8	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE THE
9	v.	PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION HEARING
10	MOHAMED MUHINA,	(Ninth Request)
11	Defendant.	
12		
13	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND A	GREED, by and between JASON FRIERSON,
14	United States Attorney, and SUPRIYA PRASA	D, Assistant United States Attorney, counsel for
15	the United States of America, and LANC	E MANINGO, CJA counsel for Defendant
16	MOHAMED MUHINA, that the preliminary	examination hearing in the above-captioned
17	matter, currently scheduled for September 5, 202	22 at 3:00 p.m., be vacated and continued for no
18	less than forty-five (45) days, to a date and time	to be set by this Honorable Court.
19	This stipulation is entered into for the fol	lowing reasons:
20	1. Defense Counsel was appointed to	o this matter on July 11, 2022. On July 13, 2022,
21	the government produced more than 500 pages	of discovery.
22	2. Defense Counsel needs additional	time to review the discovery, conduct additional
23	investigation, and confer with the Defendant about how he would like to proceed.	
24		

Case 2:21-mj-00553-BNW Document 37 Filed 08/30/22 Page 2 of 4

1	3. If a resolution is not made, the additional time will allow the government sufficien
2	time to seek an indictment.
3	4. The parties agree to the continuance.
4	5. Defendant MOHAMED MUHINA is in custody and does not object to th
5	continuance.
6	6. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of
7	justice.
8	7. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay, but t
9	allow for a potential pre-indictment resolution of the case.
10	8. The additional time requested by this stipulation, is allowed, with the Defendants
11	consent under the Federal Rules of Procedure 5.1(d).
12	9. This is the ninth request for a continuation of the preliminary examination hearing
13	DATED: This day of August, 2022
14	Respectfully submitted,
15	LANCE A. MANINGO
16	
17	<u>/s/ Lance Maningo</u> <u>/s/ Supriya Prasad</u> LANCE A. MANINGO SUPRIYA PRASAD
18	Counsel for Defendant Assistant United States Attorney
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 2 Case No.: 2:21-MJ-00553-BNW UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 3 Plaintiff, **ORDER** 4 ٧. 5 MOHAMED MUHINA 6 Defendant. 7 **ORDER** 8 9 Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court finds that: 10 11 1. Defense Counsel was appointed to this matter on July 11, 2022. On July 13, 2022, the government produced more than 500 pages of discovery. 12 2. Defense Counsel needs additional time to review the discovery, conduct additional 13 14 investigation, and confer with the Defendant about how he would like to proceed. 3. 15 If a resolution is not made, the additional time will allow the government sufficient 16 time to seek an indictment. 17 4. The parties agree to the continuance. 5. Defendant MOHAMED MUHINA is in custody and does not object to the 18 19 continuance. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of 20 6. justice. 21 22 7. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay, but to allow for a potential pre-indictment resolution of the case. 23

24

1	8. The additional time requested by this stipulation, is allowed, with the Defendants'
2	consent under the Federal Rules of Procedure 5.1(d).
3	9. This is the ninth request for a continuation of the preliminary examination hearing.
4	For all of the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would best be served by a
5	continuance of the preliminary hearing date.
6	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
7	The ends of justice served by granting said continuance outweigh the best interest of the
8	public and the defendant, since the failure to grant said continuance would be likely to result in
9	a miscarriage of justice, would deny the parties herein to potentially resolve the case prior to
10	indictment, and further would deny the parties sufficient time and the opportunity within which
11	to be able to effectively and thoroughly prepare for the preliminary hearing, taking into account
12	the exercise of due diligence.
13	The continuance sought herein is allowed, with the defendant's consent, pursuant to
14	Federal Rules of Procedure 5.1(d).
15	<u>ORDER</u>
16	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the preliminary hearing currently scheduled for
17	September 5, 2022 at 3:00 p.m., be vacated and continued to 11/4/2022 at 2:30 p.m.
18	
19	DATED this 30th day of August, 2022
20	DITIED tino duy of Fragust, 2022
21	Bentowekeln
22	THE HONORABLE BRENDA WEKSLER UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
23	
24	